

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,584	03/29/2001	James F. Riordan	CH920000010US1	3499
	7590 11/06/200 OF IDO TUCHMAN (	EXAMINER		
82-70 BEVERLY ROAD			PYZOCHA, MICHAEL J	
KEW GARDENS, NY 11415		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ITUCHMAN@TUCHMANLAW.COM

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/821,584	RIORDAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Pyzocha	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r l. riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 September 2007.				
)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	· ·	• •			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 30-38 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-38</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. 4/				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	na/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2137

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 30-38 are pending.

2. Response filed 09/24/2007 has been received and fully considered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 30-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boroughs et al. (US 6834350) in view of Riordan et al. (Target Naming and Service Apoptosis).

As per claims 30 and 33, Boroughs et al. discloses a security system comprising: an activation token identifying system characteristics and specifying a threat and at least one preset activation measure, wherein a system characteristic is one of the group of a hardware system, a service, a configuration of a service, a service execution platform, and a service session (see column 2 line 62 through column 3 line 34); a first system configured to at least review security and vulnerability information form information publishers and to provide the activation token based on filtered security and vulnerability information (see column 2 line 59 through column 3 line 10); and a second system configured to determine whether the activation token is relevant by checking if actual characteristics at the second system correspond to the system

Application/Control Number: 09/821,584

Art Unit: 2137

characteristics identified by the activation token, the second system further configured to transform the activation token into at least one activation measure if the activation token is considered relevant by the second system the activation measure configured to modify services executing at the second system (see column 3 lines 35-67 and column 4 lines 1-5) wherein the first system is further configured to automatically filter the security and vulnerability information relevant to the system characteristics identified by the activation token (see column 2 line 59 through column 3 line 10 and Figure 17).

Boroughs et al. fail to explicitly disclose including a threat level within the activation token.

However, Riordan et al. teaches including a trust level (as well as system characteristics) with an activation token (see page 220).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include trust levels with the activation tokens of Boroughs et al.

Motivation to do so would have been to distinguish between the severities of threats (see page 220).

As per claim 31, the modified Boroughs et al. and Riordan et al. system discloses cryptographic means configured to verify at the second system that the first system is a trusted service

Art Unit: 2137

(see Boroughs et al. column 3 lines 55-67 and Riordan et al. pages 220 and 223).

As per claim 32, the modified Boroughs et al. and Riordan et al. system discloses reporting means configured to report to a system administrator of the second system any activation measure taken by the second system (see Riordan et al. page 223 and Boroughs et al. column 2 lines 59-67).

As per claim 34, the modified Boroughs et al. and Riordan et al. system discloses a list of trusted service providers from whom activation tokens are accepted by the second system (see Riordan et al. page 222).

As per claims 35-38, the modified Boroughs et al. and Riordan et al. system discloses a preset activation measure is one of shutting down a service affected by the specified threat level, reconfiguration of the service, installing a patch for the service and altering a system administrator (see Riordan et al. page 223).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/24/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Riordan is not available as prior art and the rejections amount to conclusory statements.

Art Unit: 2137

With respect to Applicant's argument that the Riordan reference is not available as prior art, as evidenced by the printout from barnesandnoble.com the book which contains the Riordan was published in January 2000, which is more than a year from the filing date of the present application. Therefore, it qualifies as a 35 USC 102(b) prior art and can be properly used in a 35 USC 103(a) rejection.

With respect to Applicant's argument that the rejections amount to conclusory statements, the above rejections contain citations within the main prior art reference as to where the claimed limitations are disclosed. Next, a statement of what the main prior art reference fails to disclose. Followed by a statement of what the secondary prior art teaches and where it teaches it with an obviousness statement and motivation. All of these statements fulfill the requirements of 35 USC 103(a) and are therefore not conclusory statements.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

Application/Control Number: 09/821,584

Art Unit: 2137

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pyzocha whose telephone number is (571) 272-3875. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am - 4:30pm first Fridays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/821,584 Page 7

Art Unit: 2137

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJP

